



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/716,195	11/17/2000	Virgil Wilkins	5127P001	6178
24998	7590 04/09/2004	EXAMINER		
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L STREET NW			HUYNH, KIM NGOC	
	ON, DC 20037-1526		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2182	15
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004	, <i>[</i> >

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s)	m
	09/716,195	WILKINS ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kim Huynh	2182	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	is
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Now statute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirly (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>8/8/03</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u			erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)	and 54-58 is/are withdrawn fr		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abe correction is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do since a specific reference was included in 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign langual 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do reference was included in the first sentence.	uments have been received. uments have been received in the priority documents have be Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The alist of the certified copies represtic priority under 35 U.S. The first sentence of the spectage provisional application has tomestic priority under 35 U.S.	n Application No en received in this National Stanton received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific specific to the specific specific to the speci	plication) a Sheet. pecific
Attachment(s)		•	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper 	948) 5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	

Art Unif: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 54-58 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the cache manager (claim 54 is drawn to the same withdrawn species of claims 15 and 28), and the managing of data in cache memory and mapping controller is of different scope.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 54-58 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asnaashari (US 6,076,137) in view of Ellis (US 6,029,226).

Art Unif: 2182

Claim 1, Asnaashari discloses an integrated I/O controller integrated into a single IC device (col. 5, II. 24-26) having a host interface subsystem 14, a mapping controller 26 for mapping the logical block address (LBA) into the peripheral block addresses (PBA) of one or more peripheral devices 0-M (col. 2, II. 38-44 and col. 6, II. 1-13 and col. 7, II. 14-17) and a peripheral interface device 24 coupled to the peripheral devices and the peripheral interface device using the associated PBA (col. 2, II. 30-35). Asnaashari does not explicitly disclose the host interface having a command decode controller for parsing the host command to identify the types of command (data and non-data flow).

Ellis teaches a controller 360 having a host interface (SCSI interface 361) having a command descriptor block (CDB) receiver and decoder for decoding the CDB of the host commands (Fig. 5, step 500) since the contains the operation code indicating the type of operation to be perform (col. 2, II. 34-44 and col. 6, II. 34-40). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art for one having ordinary skill in the art to implement the decoder for parsing the host command in the host interface as taught by Ellis since host commands inherently include data flow (data manipulating commands) and non-data flow (servo control, control signals, CRC, interrupt and status report) based on the operation code of the command descriptor (control bits, functional bits, addresses, operational code, Ellis. Col. 2, II. 34-44) and parsing a host command is an inherent function of any I/O controller in order to determine and carry out the operation required by the host command.

Claim 53, Asnaashari discloses the flow type host command include read and write command (storing and retrieving of data file, col. 2, II. 19-26 and col. 5, II. 53-56).

Art Unif: 2182

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 has been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by the amendment.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dobbek (Us 5,890,209) and Noble et al. (US 6,693,754) disclose various disk drive controller having LBA and PBA mapping controller.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2182

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 308-1678.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kim Huynh

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2182

KH 4/5/04